

School of Pharmacy South African Vaccination and Immunisation Centre Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University



Counter anti-vaccination myths and build the vacci(Nation)

Hannelie Meyer [BPharm; MSc(Med); PhD] Rose Burnett [BTech; MPH; PhD]

Email: hannelie.meyer@smu.ac.za

S A Pharmaceutical Regulatory Affairs Association Bytes Conference Centre, Midrand 17 August 2018



Declaration

No conflict of interest to declare No payment or other sponsorships received for the purpose of this talk





Outline of presentation

- Key issues to increase vaccination uptake
 - Advocacy
 - Social mobilisation
 - Communication
- Vaccine communication in practice
 - How to build trust
 - Different types of explanations and when they should be used
 - How to counter anti-vaccination myths



KEY ISSUES CONCERNING ADVOCACY, SOCIAL MOBILISATION AND COMMUNICATION TO INCREASE VACCINATION UPTAKE



HEALTH SCIENCES UNIVERSITY



Vaccination advocacy





http://timeschangin.blogspot.com/2009_ 03_15_archive.html

- Influencing public opinion to bring about social change
 - E.g. The Treatment Action Campaign brought about HIV/AIDS policy changes
- Policy-related vaccination advocacy
 - Public health officials & scientists
 - SA Department of Health fully supports EPI-SA
- South African **media advocacy** for vaccines
 - Influence way media reports on vaccination-related issues
 - Government officials, healthcare workers and academics



Vaccination communication



- Exchange / sharing of information
- Effective communication
 - → mutual understanding
 - Stakeholder education
 - Educating clients about vaccination risks and benefits
 - Media communication

Allocate time for health promotion

- Establish knowledge
- Respect language and culture
- Explain verbally
- Don't overwhelm with too much information
- Adapt to individual and community needs
- Ensure understanding

South African National Department of Health, Expanded Programme on Immunisation (2015). Vaccinator's Manual: "Immunisation that works".



http://clipartmag.com/communicationimages#communication-images-26.jpg



Vaccination communication Essential information



- All side-effects that may occur
- Managing mild side effects at home
- **Return to the clinic** if **more serious** side effects occur
- The date and time of the next vaccination session
- The outstanding doses
- Importance of date to ensure timely completion of schedule
- Date and time of next vaccination session on Road to Health Book (RtHB)
- Use reference points if the caregiver is **illiterate**

South African National Department of Health, Expanded Programme on Immunisation (2015). Vaccinator's Manual: "Immunisation that works".





Vaccination communication Risk benefit communication





http://vaccine-safety-training.org/balancing-efficacy-and-safety.html

- Vaccination risks versus disease risks
- Vaccination benefits far outweigh risks
- Serious AEFIs rare
- Serious complications of diseases common
- Anti-vaccination misinformation on credible-looking websites

Advice given by healthcare workers highly regarded

- Be knowledgeable about the science
- Understand risks and benefits
- Communicate this information effectively



Social mobilisation



- Social mobilisation = high demand for vaccination.
- Beyond understanding and accepting need → demanding vaccination as a human right and vaccinating their children
- All stakeholders convinced through effective advocacy and effective communication that vaccination is a public good that is worth providing and worth receiving

Effective vaccination advocacy + communication = Social mobilisation

Increased vaccination uptake



Importance of advocacy, social mobilisation and communication regarding vaccination





- Politicians: well-considered, evidence-based decisions
- Healthcare workers: fully understand and promote vaccination
- Teachers / community leaders: influence others
- General public: demand vaccination as a human right
- Media: informed, responsible decisions about publication

VACCINE COMMUNICATION IN PRACTICE How to build trust



SEFAKO MAKGATHO HEALTH SCIENCES UNIVERSITY





- Health messages can be distressing
- Stressed / uncomfortable people unlikely to understand / accept
- Confidence and full attention first priority
- Acknowledgement of concerns gains attention
- Knowledgeable people judge information on merits
- Unknowledgeable people use peripheral cues to help them decide
 - Are you likeable?
 - Do you care about their concerns?
- Explaining **complex issues** at the outset may engender suspicion
 - Effective communication will not occur
 - Demonstrating importance of child's health to you builds trust

Rowan KE (2000). Explaining illness through the mass media: a problem-solving perspective. In: Whaley BB (ed). Explaining Illness: Research, theory, and strategies.





Build self-confidence

- Don't ridicule caregivers' sources of vaccine misinformation
- Endorse credible books, magazines and websites that you find helpful and interesting

Rowan KE (2000). Explaining illness through the mass media: a problem-solving perspective. In: Whaley BB (ed). Explaining Illness: Research, theory, and strategies.

Website example

http://www.vaccinesafetynet.org/



Example



IT'S NEVER TOO LATE TO VACCINATE!

Zeenat Hassim. BPharm II Student, SMU. 2017







VACCINE COMMUNICATION IN PRACTICE Different types of explanations and when they should be used



HEALTH SCIENCES UNIVERSITY





- Three main obstacles prevent understanding complex subject matter:
 - Distinguishing essential meanings of terms from meanings associated by lay people with these terms
 - Visualising complex human anatomical or physiological phenomena or pathology
 - Understanding ideas that contradict lay beliefs
- Three different **types of explanations** to overcome these obstacles
 - Elucidating explanations
 - Quasi-scientific explanations
 - Transformative explanations

Rowan KE (2000). Explaining illness through the mass media: a problem-solving perspective. In: Whaley BB (ed). Explaining Illness: Research, theory, and strategies.



Elucidating explanations





Clarify terms - useful for:

- Introducing vaccines
- Increasing uptake
- Allaying public fears

Best when there is no causal relationship: "following" ≠ "caused by"

Rowan KE (2000). Explaining illness through the mass media: a problem-solving perspective. In: Whaley BB (ed). Explaining Illness: Research, theory, and strategies.

Example:

- Explaining what a vaccination is, and what it is not
- When can this kind of explanation be used?
- When caregivers do not have the basic knowledge about vaccination
- When caregivers have asked if they can rather give their babies alternative types of vaccination
- Also suitable for
 - Parenting / baby magazine
 - Talk show slot on radio or TV
 - Website on parenting











- A vaccination is when a healthy person is given a vaccine to prevent them from getting a specific disease.
- A vaccine is made from the germ that causes the disease it can be made of parts of the germ that can't cause disease, or whole killed germs, or a live germ that has been stripped of its disease-causing ability.
- The vaccine makes the person build up resistance to the germ, so that if the person is ever exposed to the real live germ, they are highly unlikely to get the disease that the germ causes – this is called immunity, which is why vaccinations are sometimes also called immunisations.
- For example, vaccination against polio starts when babies are born, before they have a chance to be exposed to polio germs. The polio vaccine is then also given at 6, 10 and 14 weeks, and again at 18 months, to allow the baby to build up full immunity to polio. Polio vaccination can be done by using polio drops in the mouth, or it can be given by injection.





- A vaccination is not a medicine, and the vaccines we use in infant immunisation programmes cannot be given to sick people to make them better.
- Homeopathic "vaccines" are not vaccines at all, since they don't contain any vaccine material and can't produce immunity.
- Nor can your baby be vaccinated by playing with children who have the disease at so-called "immunisation parties".
 - In fact your baby stands a very high chance of catching the disease at such parties.
- Although the disease may be mild in most children, it can be very severe in others, and can result in long-term illness and suffering for your baby, and sometimes even death.
- Vaccines are the most effective way to protect your child from dangerous diseases and the best way to keep your child healthy





- Communication may fail because people cannot visualise information
- Quasi-scientific explanations help visualising complex issues
- Simple images in words or graphics create images in the mind
- Headlines show how content is organised
- Comparisons organise the message further
- Help to make written communication effective
 - Headings
 - Sub-headings
 - Captions
 - Signalling phrases



Rowan KE (2000). Explaining illness through the mass media: a problem-solving perspective. In: Whaley BB (ed). Explaining Illness: Research, theory, and strategies.



Quasi-scientific explanation Example of formaldehyde



Best for explaining causal relationship



Useful for:

- Introducing vaccines
- Increasing uptake
- Allaying public fears



https://za.pinterest.com/pin/296463587949786509/





Example:

 Explaining vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis (VAPP), following vaccination with the oral polio vaccine.

When can this kind of explanation be used?

- Suitable for the print media, and could also be depicted with graphics.
- Should be combined with an elucidating explanation about polio and polio vaccines, being "boxed" to highlight it as the "takehome" message

Note:

 If there is already a lot of negative publicity, then a transformative explanation would be more suitable



Quasi-scientific explanation

Example: Vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis



What does the oral polio vaccine contain?

The oral polio vaccine contains live polio viruses (the germ that causes polio paralysis) that have been weakened and stripped of their ability to cause disease.

How does the oral polio vaccine work?

The weakened polio viruses prevent polio by causing the body to make polio antibodies, which are the body's weapons to fight polio when the body is exposed to real live polio viruses in the environment.

Can these live oral polio vaccines cause polio?

 In extremely rare cases, the weakened polio virus undergoes a change (mutation) that restores its strength and ability to cause disease. When this happens, polio paralysis can develop.

How often does this happen?

1 case per 2.7 million doses globally

What is the risk of getting polio paralysis if you are exposed to the real live polio virus, and are not vaccinated?

1 in 200

Burnett RJ. Vaccination and the media. WHO Afro / NESI 5th Regional Vaccinology Course. Burgers Park Hotel, Pretoria, South Africa, 27 May-1 June 2013.

WHO (2015). Vaccine-associated paralytic polio (VAPP) and vaccine-derived poliovirus (VDPV). Fact Sheet, February 2015.

 $http://www.who.int/immunization/diseases/poliomyelitis/endgame_objective2/oral_polio_vaccine/VAPPandcVDPVFactSheet-Feb2015.pdf.$



Transformative explanations





http://www.who.int/immunization/hpv/communicate/en/

Rowan KE (2000). Explaining illness through the mass media: a problem-solving perspective. In: Whaley BB (ed). Explaining Illness: Research, theory, and strategies. Four steps help to understand ideas that contradict lay beliefs:

- **1.** State lay view
- 2. Acknowledge plausibility of lay view
- **3.** Create dissatisfaction with lay view
- 4. State scientifically endorsed view; show why this is better

Best for countering antivaccination messages



Transformative explanation Use of examples



Example:

 Explanation that there is no link between vaccines and autism

When can this kind of explanation be used?

- When a caregiver is reluctant to accept
 - MMR vaccine
 - Vaccines that contain additives
 - Multivalent vaccines
- It is also suitable for
 - Parenting / baby magazine
 - Talk show slot on radio or TV
 - Website on parenting







Step 1: State the lay theory

- Despite the fact that Dr Wakefield has been found guilty of falsifying his results in the original report that linked vaccination to autism, many people still believe that vaccines cause autism.
- Some say that this is because of the viruses in the vaccine, others say that vaccine preservatives are to blame, while others say it is because children are getting too many vaccines at once.

Step 2: Acknowledge the plausibility of the lay view

- It is not only lay people who hold this view
- A few scientists support it, and have come up with causal pathways to support their claims that are biologically plausible to themselves at least, and which are convincing to many well educated members of the public.





Step 3: Show how the lay view does not hold up to scrutiny

- However, these claims are discredited for several reasons. First, Wakefield had not designed his study in a way that could show cause – it lacked both a statistically powerful sample size and a comparison group.
- The findings were on only 8 of 12 autistic children, all 8 having received MMR (falsified at the time of publication as "before developing autism"; we now know it was "after" in some cases). At the time MMR coverage in Britain was 92%, thus most children aged between 1 to 2 years would have received MMR.
- As it happens, **autism** is usually **diagnosed** at this **age**, so it is not surprising that these children were diagnosed at around the same age as MMR vaccination.





- Second, preservatives have never been used in MMR it is a live vaccine, and preservatives are used only in killed vaccines.
- Third, **babies** are **exposed** to **numerous organisms** every day, and suffer many viral infections each year, which they clear.
 - Besides, babies who are vaccinated respond just as well to infections that are not vaccine-preventable, as babies who are not vaccinated.
 - When vaccinated with multivalent vaccines (i.e. vaccines that act against a number of organisms), babies respond with antibody titres just as high as when vaccinated with the individual vaccines separately.





Step 4: State the scientifically endorsed view and show how this explains the phenomenon better than the lay view

- Most compellingly, since Wakefield's original report in 1998, over 1 million children have been studied using statistically powerful epidemiological study designs
- No link between vaccination and autism has been found in any of these studies.
- Studies to show cause (i.e. to rule out coincidence) must always consist of at least 2 groups
 - Those vaccinated and those not vaccinated
 - Further sub-divided into those with autism and those without autism in each group for comparison by statistical analysis.





- Furthermore, these studies have to have statistically powerful sample sizes in order to be representative of the target population.
- Let us look at a simple example
 - If you study only one group (children with autism) and you find that all of them have brown eyes, you cannot conclude that there is a link between brown eyes and autism
 - Unless you study a group of children without autism, and you can show that most of the children who don't have autism have blue or green eyes, and very few have brown eyes.
- And even if you do find this to be true, if you have only studied 10 or twenty children with autism, your finding may be purely due to chance, since such a small sample cannot represent all children with autism.

The "real" cause of autism: Organic food



Sources: Organic Trade Association, 2011 Organic Industry Survey; U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS), OM B# 1820-0043: "Children with Disabilities Receiving Special Education Under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act

Source: https://io9.gizmodo.com/on-correlation-causation-and-the-real-cause-of-a

Distinction between correlation and causation

How susceptible are you to ...

- logical fallacies?
- cognitive biases?
- extracting what you believe is meaningful?



Inappropriate statistical analysis





Spurious "shark attacks and ice cream sales association" Positive correlation between the rise in shark attacks and increased ice cream sales

Does eating ice cream cause shark attacks? <u>OR</u> Do shark attacks cause more ice creams to be eaten?

- Linear regression analysis (correlation) used instead of measures of association
 - because only one group has been investigated and comparison between groups is thus not possible

VACCINE COMMUNICATION IN PRACTICE How to counter anti-vaccination myths



SEFAKO MAKGATHO HEALTH SCIENCES UNIVERSITY Cowpox vaccine

"<u>Unnatural</u>" and "<u>ungodly</u>" Vaccinated - would grow body parts of cows

"Anti-vaccination movement"



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:The_cow_pock.jpg



1967: 2.7 million <u>deaths</u> 20%-40% <u>case</u> fatality

100% permanent facial <u>scarring</u>

1980: Global eradication of smallpox Thanks to the smallpox vaccine!

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Smallpox


Countering anti-vaccination myths

SAVIC

- Misguided quest to help other parents
- Financial interests
- Parents exposed to misinformation and are concerned:
 - "Vaccine hesitancy"
 - They are not anti-vaccination
 - Deserve empathy and understanding
- Need effective communication leading to acceptance of vaccination





MYTH

"Vaccines are not safe" OR "Vaccines are harmful"



MYTH: Vaccines cause autism!



https://www.cansa.org.za/files/2017/04/Fact-Sheet-Position-Statement-Vaccines-Vaccination-April-2017.pdf

Water causes headaches! BAN WATER!

How to protect yourself from getting autism?

Do not vaccinate ... so



https://me.me/i/cant-get-autism-if-you-die-from-polio-none-13174593



1998 - Andrew Wakefield revived antivaccination movement



EARLY REPORT

Lancet: Claimed association between measles mumps rubella (MMR) vaccine and developing autism

There was no scientific basis for the claim



Early report

Ileal-lymphoid-nodular hyperplasia, non-specific colitis, and pervasive developmental disorder in children

A J Wakefield, S H Murch, A Anthony, J Linnell, D M Casson, M Malik, M Berelowitz, A P Dhillon, M A Thomson, P Harvey, A Valentine, S E Davies, J A Walker-Smith

Summa

Background We investigated a consecutive series of children with chronic enterocolitis and regressive developmental disorder.

Methods 12 children (mean age 6 years [range 3-10], 11 boys) were referred to a paediatric gastroenterology unit with a history of normal development followed by loss of acquired skills, including tanguage, together with diarhoes and abdominal pain. Children underwent gastroenterological, neurological, and developmental assessment and review of developmental records. Biecoclonoscopy and biopsy sampling, magnetic-resonance imaging (MRI), electroencephalography (EEG), and lumbar puncture were done under sedation. Baim follow-through radiography was done where possible. Biochemical, haematological, and immunological profiles were examined,

Findings Onset of behavioural symptoms was associ by the parents, with measles, mumps, and rul vaccination in eight of the 12 children, with meas infection in one child, and otitis media in a All children had intestinal abnormalities lymphoid nodular hyperplasia to Histology showed patchy chronic int in 11 children and reactive ilea seven, but no granulomas, Br included autism (nine), disintegrativ postviral or vaccinal en and EEG tests focal neurological at re significantly mpared with ageociated gastrointestinal

(ation) e idem associated gastrointestinal e and evelopmentel regression in a group of ly man town d, which was generally associated possible environmental triggers. 1957 551: 637-41

Lancet 1998 151: 637-See Commentary page

Inflammatory Bowel Disease Study Group, University Departments of Medicine and Histopathology (J. J. Wakefeld next, A Anthory w. J. Linnell run, A.P. Drillon waterum, S.E. Duries waterum) and the University Departments of Paediatic Gastroenterology (S.H. Murch wa, D.M. Caston water, M. Malk water, M. A. Thomson rec., J.A. Waite Britth mcc), Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (M. Bereliswitz mcr-wo), Neurology (J.F. Harvey mcc), and Radiolog (A. Vaientine next), Royal Free Hospital and School of Medicine, Landon HW3 2020, UK Correspondence to: Ch.J. Wakefeld

THE LANCET + Vol 351 + February 28, 1998

Introduction

We saw several children who, after a previous of apparent normality, lost acquired skills, including communication They all had gastrointestinal amptoroms, building abdominal pain, diarrhoea, and uating and, ab oom cases, food intolerance. We scribe a clinical fi flings and gastrointestinal feature of these charges.

Patients and methods 12 children, consentively used to use department of pacfarric garce accessing with a bit by of a pervasive developments of the with loss use and skills and intestinal symptome accessing addressing and food intolerance); were involved. All chaltere were admitted to the word for super, accounty, and by their parents.

hical investigations

took historia including details of immunitations and closure to infect as diseases, and assessed the children. In 11 ca, the history as obtained by the serior clinician (JW-8). Neural 11 of psychiatric assessments were done by upsultant easil (PH, MB) with HMS-4 criteria. Developmental reincluded a review of prospective developmental records from potents, health visitors, and general practitioners. Four children did not undergo psychiatric assessment in hospital; all had been assessed professionally chewhere, so these assessments were used as the basis for their behavioural diagnosis.

After bowel preparation, leocolonsocopy was performed by SHM or MAT under sofation with mikatosian and pethidine. Paired forem and formalin-ficted muccual biopty samples were taken from the terminal ileum; ascending, transverse, descending, and sigmoid colons, and from the recturn. The procedure was recorded by video or still images, and were compared with images of the previous werve contexcutive paediatric colonoscopies (four normal colonoscopies and three on children with ulcerative colinia), in which the physician reported normal appearances in the terminal leum. Barium follow-threaght andiography was possible in some case.

Also under sedation, cerebral magnetic-resonance imaging (MRR), electroencephalography (EEG) including visual, brain stem auditory, and sensory evoked potentials (where compliance made these possible), and lumbar puncture were done.

Laboratory investigations

Thysoid function, serum long-chain fatty acids, and cerebrospinal-fuli lactate were measured to exclude known causes of childhood neurodegenerative disease. Urinary methylmadonic add vasa measured in random trute samples from eighe of the 12 children and 14 age-matched and sse-matched normal controls, by a modification of a technique described previoaby.¹ Chromotograms were acanned digitally on computer, to analyse the methylmalonic-acid tones from cases and controls. Urinary methylmadonic-acid concentrations in patients and controls were compared by a two-sample r test. Urinary creatinine was estimated by routine spectrophotometric aster.

Children were screened for antiendomyseal antibodies and boys were screened for fragile-X if this had not been done

637

Wakefield et al. 1998 Lack of scientific validity



	Autism positive		Autism negative	
MMR received	8]	No data	
MMR NOT received	4		No data	

- Tiny sample size: Only **12 children with autism studied**
 - 8 of whom it was claimed developed autism shortly after receiving MMR = later found to be a false claim
- No comparison group
- The temporal sequence was found to be reversed in most cases (i.e. autism signs and symptoms preceded MMR)
- The causal mechanism was not biologically plausible



FACTS AGAINST MYTH:

"Vaccines are not safe" OR "Vaccines are harmful"

HOW THE CASE AGAINST THE MMR VACCINE WAS FIXED

In the first part of a special *BMJ* series, **Brian Deer** exposes the bogus data behind claims that launched a worldwide scare over the measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine, and reveals how the appearance of a link with autism was manufactured at a London medical school

hen I broke the news to the father of child 11, at first he did not believe me. "Wakefield told us my son was the 13th child they saw," he said, gazing for the first time at the now infamous research paper which linked a purported new syndrome with the measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine.¹ "There's only 12 in this."

That paper was published in the *Lancet* on 28 February 1998. It was retracted on 2 February 2010.² Authored by Andrew Wake-field, John Walker-Smith and 11 others from the Royal Free Hospital and School of Medicine, London, it reported on 12 developmentally challenged children, and triggered a decade long public health scare.

"Onset of behavioural symptoms was associated by the parents with measles, mumps, and

brain and bowel diseases. Child 11 was the penultimate case.

Running his finger across the paper's tables, over coffee in London, Mr 11 seemed reassured by his anonymised son's age and other details.

But then he pointed at table 2—headed "neuropsychiatric diagnosis"—and for a second time objected.

"That's not true."

Child 11 was among

the eight whose parents apparently blamed MMR. The interval between his vaccination and the first "behavioural symptom" was reported as 1 week. This symptom was said to have appeared at age 15 months. But his father, whom I had tracked down, said this was wrong. closed £150 (€180; \$230) an hour through a Norfolk solicitor named Richard Barr, he had been confidentially put on the payroll for two years before the paper was published, eventually grossing him £435643, plus expenses.⁴

"The regulator's main focus was whether the research was ethical. Mine was whether it was true"

> tis/disintegrative disorder [an expression he used for bowel inflammation and regressive autism⁵ form part of a new syndrome," he and Barr explained in a confidential grant application to the UK government's Legal Aid Board,⁶ before any of the children were investigated. "Nonetheless the evidence is undeni-

Curiously, however, Wakefield had already identified such a syndrome before the project that would reputedly discover it. "Children with enteriSAVI



FACTS AGAINST MYTH: Example of vaccine safety being a priority





https://lookfordiagnosis.com/mesh_info.php?term=Va ccines%2C+Acellular&lang=1

- Whole cell pertussis vaccine
 - Killed vaccine associated with high fever.
 - Highly effective for many years
- Acellular pertussis vaccine
 - Contains only the proteins which elicit the immune response
 - Not as effective as whole cell pertussis vaccine

WHO (2015). Pertussis vaccines: WHO position paper. Weekly epidemiological record; 35(90): 433–460.



FACTS AGAINST MYTH: Information needed to prove that a vaccine has caused an adverse event



 Experimental studies to test the safety and efficacy of vaccines – typically involve two groups of children

	Experienced adverse event / developed disease	No adverse event / no disease	
Vaccinated	Total vaccinated with the outcome	Total vaccinated without the outcome	
Not vaccinated	Total not vaccinated with the outcome	Total not vaccinated without the outcome	

- Over 60 000 children included in the latest rotavirus vaccine trials
 - Still not large enough to detect a very rare adverse event
 - 1 in a million children
- **Post-marketing surveillance** to detect very rare adverse events
 - Possible rare adverse events flagged and fully investigated
 - Observational studies children who have been vaccinated / not vaccinated in normal course of their lives - not under trial conditions.

Untrue and dangerous claims about vaccines





Donald J. Trump

Follow

Healthy young child goes to doctor, gets pumped with massive shot of many vaccines, doesn't feel good and changes - AUTISM. Many such cases!

5:35 am - 28 Mar 2014



Vaccine debate – which side are you on?

FROM COVER-UP TO CATASTROPHE

"I DID NOT HAVE SEXUAL RELATIONS WITH THAT WOMAN"

STILL MORE

TRUTHFUL THAN

Andrew Wakefield anti-vaccination activist

Scared of the flu shot?

MYTH: Flu shots can give you the flu



FACT: You already caught the virus but was not showing symptoms when vaccinated

MYTH: Vaccines are not safe



FACT: Independent assessment of each individual lot of a licensed vaccine batch before release onto the market

Retesting in case of adverse events

If someone has to wear a hazmat suit to handle vaccines in in a laboratory, it should not be injected!



Vaccines ARE safe Serious adverse events following immunisation are extremely rare





https://globalvax.wordpress.com/2015/04/06/10-important-reasons-to-be-vaccinated/





"Vaccines are ineffective"



MYTH: Vaccines are ineffective

Why would my unvaccinated kids be a threat to your vaccinated kids?

... if you are so sure that vaccines work?

Personal Body Guard



No vaccine is 100% effective (85%-95%)

Parents choosing NOT TO VACCINATE their children



Parents choosing NOT TO VACCINATE their children

YES, YOU'RE FREE TO REJECT VACCINATIONS

FOR YOUR CHILD ... BUT HE MUST WEAR THIS SUIT WHENEVER HE LEAVES THE HOUSE

HOW IT SHOULD BE

Supply child with personal protective wear

> THIS ISN'T FOR YOUR CHILD.. IT'S A SHOT OF

> > FOR YOU

Vaccinate mother with common sense



FACTS AGAINST MYTH: "Vaccines are ineffective"



Why would MY unvaccinated kid be a threat to YOUR vaccinated kid, if you're so sure that vaccines work?

Because I don't just worry about my kid.

I worry about your kid, babies too young to be vaccinated and those who medically can't be vaccinated. They are all at high risk of suffering from and spreading infection.

deserve protection.

https://vaxplanations.wordpress.com/tag/herd-immunity/

- When vaccination coverage is high the majority of people who get the disease may have been vaccinated
- Perception that vaccines are ineffective
- No vaccine is 100% effective; most are 85–95% effective

Burnett et al. 2012. Addressing public questioning and concerns about vaccination in South Africa: A guide for healthcare workers. Vaccine, 30 Suppl 3:C72-8.





- Of 1000 children never exposed to natural measles, 995 vaccinated
- All 1000 exposed to measles
- All **5 unvaccinated** children **(100%)** get measles
- 7 of the 995 vaccinated children (0.7%) get measles
- Thus **58.3% (7/12)** of measles cases were vaccinated!
- But the vaccine was **99.3% (988/995) effective**

	_	
		7

Information provided by anti-vaxxers to show the measles vaccine is not effective	Measles cases (n=12)	% of total cases
Previously vaccinated against measles	7	58.3%
Previously not vaccinated against measles	5	41.7%

Burnett et al. 2012. Addressing public questioning and concerns about vaccination in South Africa: A guide for healthcare workers. Vaccine, 30 Suppl 3:C72-8.





- Efficacy is measured by testing for antibodies, and comparing the levels of antibodies between vaccinated and unvaccinated children
- It would be **unethical to expose** the children to the causative organism as part of the experiment
- The anti-vaccination lobby claim that because scientists do not do this, they have no proof of efficacy



MYTH

"Vaccines are not responsible for the decline in infectious diseases"





SAV





FACTS AGAINST MYTH: "Vaccines are not responsible for the decline in infectious diseases"





http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vac-gen/6mishome.htm



FACTS AGAINST MYTH: "Vaccines are not responsible for the decline in infectious diseases"





http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vac-gen/6mishome.htm



FACTS AGAINST MYTH: "Vaccines are not responsible for the decline in infectious diseases"

SAVIC



Diagram adapted from Chen RT et al. The Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). A passive surveillance system in the US intended to collect reports of reactions to vaccines. Under the aegis of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the US Food and Drug Administration. (VAERS). Vaccine, 1994: 12(6):542–550.



MYTH

"Vaccination is profit driven"





Image courtesy of Vichaya Kiatying-Angsulee/FreeDigitalPhotos.net.





The expenses to TREAT a vaccine-preventable disease are much higher than providing the vaccination

The Anti-Vaccine Movement Supports Big Pharma.

A 2008 measles outbreak in San Diego had a public-sector cost of \$10, 376 per case.

Cost of the MMR vaccine.



https://vaxplanations.wordpress.com/tag/herd-immunity/



Who profits from vaccination? (2)

- EPI-SA vaccines are provided free of charge in the public sector
- Private sector clinics in South Africa generally provide the vaccine at cost, and charge only a small administration fee
- Vaccination clearly does NOT provide huge profits for South African healthcare workers







- Independent scientists who develop and test vaccines are sometimes accused of being in "the pockets" of the vaccine industry
 - E.g. Paul Offit, the inventor of the rotavirus vaccine
- Independent scientists who obtain funding, produce validated findings of vaccine safety and efficacy in numerous studies
- Universities do not have funds for their scientists to develop and test vaccines
 - When funding is obtained, they remain employees of their university, not the funder





- Most countries independent national technical advisory bodies
 - Guide national policymakers and programme managers on immunisation policies and programmes
- South Africa: National Advisory Group on Immunisation (NAGI)
 - Independence of NAGI is unquestionable
- All vaccines in EPI-SA selected based on scientific evidence





The anti-vaccination lobby profits from discrediting vaccines



RESEARCH

Burnett et al (2015). SAMJ 105(11):922-6

A profile of anti-vaccination lobbying on the South African internet, 2011 - 2013

R J Burnett,¹ MPH, PhD; L J von Gogh,¹ BA; M H Moloi,² MPH; G François,³ MSc, PhD

¹ South African Vaccination and Immunisation Centre, Department of Virology, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Pretoria, South Africa

² Department of Public Health, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Pretoria, South Africa

- Sponsors of websites and blogs discrediting vaccines often have a profit motive
- These organisations sell products that claim to be "natural alternatives" to vaccination
- In 2009 this industry was worth USD 60 billion

Brookes G. Economic Impact Assessment of the European Union (EU)'s Nutrition & Health Claims Regulation on the EU food supplement sector and market. 2010. https://www.pgeconomics.co.uk/pdf/Impact-Assessment-health-claims.pdf

In 2013 the global vaccine market was worth only USD 24 billion

World Health Organization. Prequalification to make high-quality, safe and affordable vaccines. 2013. http://www.who.int/features/2013/vaccine_prequalification/en/

³ Department of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Antwerp, Belgium

For the record ...







- Amanna I, Slifka MK (2005). Public fear of vaccination: separating fact from fiction. Viral Immunol, 18(2):307-15.
- Burnett RJ, von Gogh LJ, Moloi MH, Tshatsinde EA, François G. A profile of antivaccination lobbying on the South African internet: 2011 to 2013. S Afr Med J. 2015;105(11):922-6.
- Burnett RJ, Baleta A, Moola S (2013). Vaccination and the media. 5th Regional WHO Vaccinology Course.
- Burnett RJ, Larson HJ, Moloi MH, Tshatsinde EA, Meheus A, Paterson P, François G (2012). Addressing public questioning and concerns about vaccination in South Africa: A guide for healthcare workers. Vaccine, 30 Suppl 3:C72-8.
- Cook J, Lewandowsky S (2011). The debunking handbook. Available from http://www.skepticalscience.com/Debunking-Handbook-now-freely-availabledownload.html
- CDC (2011). Some common misperceptions about vaccination and how to respond to them Available from http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vac-gen/6mishome.htm
- Eskola J, Duclos P, Schuster M, MacDonald NE; SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy (2015). How to deal with vaccine hesitancy? Vaccine;33(34):4215-7.





- Goldstein S, MacDonald NE, Guirguis S; SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy (2015). Health communication and vaccine hesitancy. Vaccine;33(34):4212-4.
- Jarrett C, Wilson R, O'Leary M, Eckersberger E, Larson HJ; SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy (2015). Strategies for addressing vaccine hesitancy A systematic review. Vaccine;33(34):4180-90.
- Larson HJ, Schulz WS, Tucker JD, Smith DM (2015). Measuring vaccine confidence: introducing a global vaccine confidence index. PLoS Curr. 25;7.
- Larson HJ, Jarrett C, Eckersberger E, Smith DM, Paterson P (2014). Understanding vaccine hesitancy around vaccines and vaccination from a global perspective: a systematic review of published literature, 2007-2012. Vaccine. 2014;32(19):2150-9.
- NOVA (2014). Season 42 episode 1. Vaccines: Calling the shots https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wqbH40Y9XJw
- Paterson P, Meurice F, Stanberry LR, Glismann S, Rosenthal SL, Larson HJ (2016). Vaccine hesitancy and healthcare providers. Vaccine. pii: S0264-410X(16)30977-X. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.10.042. [Epub ahead of print].
- Rowan KE (2000). Explaining illness through the mass media: a problem-solving perspective. In: Whaley BB (ed). Explaining Illness: Research, theory, and strategies. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.




- South African National Department of Health, Expanded Programme on Immunisation (2014). Vaccinator's Manual: "Immunisation that works".
- The Vaccine Confidence Project. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine <u>http://www.vaccineconfidence.org/about/</u>
- Williams G (2011). Gresham College lecture series. From Jenner to Wakefield: The long shadow of the anti-vaccination movement. <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFauihFXKHk</u>
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2015). Pertussis vaccines: WHO position paper. Weekly epidemiological record; 35(90): 433–460.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2015). Vaccine-associated paralytic polio (VAPP) and vaccine-derived poliovirus (VDPV). Fact Sheet, February 2015. http://www.who.int/immunization/diseases/poliomyelitis/endgame_objective2/oral_polio _vaccine/VAPPandcVDPVFactSheet-Feb2015.pdf
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2016). Vaccine safety communication: guide for immunization programme managers and national regulatory authorities. WHO Regional Office for the Western Pacific.
- Zhou F, Shefer A, Wenger J, Messonnier M, Wang LY, Lopez A, Moore M, Murphy TV, Cortese M, Rodewald L (2014). Economic evaluation of the routine childhood immunization program in the United States, 2009. Pediatrics, 133(4):577-85.

Thank you



Visit: <u>http://www.savic.ac.za/</u> Twitter: @SAVICinfo Facebook: SAVICinfo

South African Vaccination and Immunisation Centre



Twitter: @SMU_PHPM Facebook: SMU Public Health Pharmacy

HEALTH SCIENCES UNIVERSITY Department of Public Health Pharmacy and Management